

Appl. No. 09/713,457
Amdt. Dated August 3, 2004
Reply to Office Action of July 26, 2004

Docket No. IR103902
Customer No. 23330

REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-17 and 19-21 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are allowable. Claims 10, 16-17 and 19-21 stand rejected. Claims 11-12, 14 and 15 are objected to by the Examiner. Claims 11-12, 16-17 and 19-21 have been canceled.

The Applicants note the acceptance of the replacement drawing sheets.

Claims 10-12, 19 and 21 are objected to for certain informalities. These informalities have been addressed in the currently amended claims.

It is respectfully requested that the subject amendment be entered since it is believed to substantially narrow the issues for appeal and accelerate the prosecution of the present application.

The Examiner rejects claims 10, 16-17 and 19-21 under the provisions of 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over US patent 6,208,624 issued on March 27, 2001 to M. Tanno et al. in view of US patent 6,044,073 issued on March 28, 2002 to N. Seshadri et al. Claim 10 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 11 and as such is believed allowable over any combination of the cited art.

Claims 16-17 and 19-21 have been canceled by the Applicants without prejudice or disclaimer. As a result it is believed that this 35 USC 103 rejection has been overcome. The Applicants respectfully request its removal.

The Applicants note the allowable subject matter of claims 1-3 and 5-9. The Applicants note that claims 11-12 and 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon rejected claims but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

The Applicants note the art cited by the Examiner but not relied upon.

Further, the Applicants note the response to the previous arguments.

Appl. No. 09/713,457
Amdt. Dated August 3, 2004
Reply to Office Action of July 26, 2004

Docket No. IR103902
Customer No. 23330

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.


In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department
3102 North 56th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85018
Customer Number: 23330

By:


Frank J. Bogacz
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 29,047

Telephone: 602-952-4399
Fax No.: 602-952-4376